

Schur functions constitute the natural basis of the space of symmetric polynomials. They are the irreducible characters of the symmetric or linear groups. Geometrically, they form a basis of the cohomology ring and correspond to Grassmannians.

Schubert polynomials X_{μ} [1, 2] are the basis of the ring of polynomials generalizing the above interpretation of Schur functions: they are characters of some cyclic representations of the Borel group of triangular matrices [3] and their classes are the natural basis of the cohomology of the flag manifolds.

Both functions can be lifted to the algebra of the free monoid, as sums (with coefficient 0 or 1) of certain words called tableaux. Combining different interpretations of tableaux, we give in Theorem 5 explicit links between Schubert polynomials, Demazure characters, standard bases, and reduced decompositions of permutations.

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ be an alphabet, $Z\langle A \rangle$ the associated free algebra. A word $w = x_1 \dots x_r$ is a column of degree r (noted $|w|$) iff $x_1 > \dots > x_r$, $x_i \in A$. A column w dominates another one v : $w \gg v$ iff there exists a weakly decreasing injection of the set of letters of v into that of w . A product $t = w_1 w_2 \dots$ of columns is a tableau iff $w_1 \gg w_2 \gg w_3 \dots$ and its shape is the partition $(|w_1|, |w_2|, \dots)$.

A tableau t is standard iff it is a permutation of $1, 2, \dots, |t|$. A key is a tableau such that for each k , the column w_{k+1} is a subword of w_k .

We need two congruences on free algebras: the plactic congruence $\equiv$, generated by the elementary relations

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{(PL1)} \quad a_k a_i a_j \equiv a_i a_k a_j \quad \text{and} \quad a_j a_i a_k \equiv a_j a_k a_i \\ \text{(PL2)} \quad a_i a_j a_i \equiv a_j a_i a_i \quad \text{and} \quad a_j a_i a_i \equiv a_i a_j a_i \end{array} \right\} \quad i < j < k$$

and the nilplactic congruence $\cong$, which is also given by (PL1) and (PL2), except when i and j are consecutive numbers, in which case we replace (PL2) by (NilPL):

$$\text{(NilPL)} \quad a_i a_{i+1} a_i \cong a_{i+1} a_i a_{i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad a_i a_i \cong 0$$

Schensted's construction for the plactic congruence extends to the nilplactic case [4]:

THEOREM 1. 1) Each plactic class (resp. nilplactic not containing 0) contains one and only one tableau t .

2) The elements of the plactic (resp. nilplactic not containing 0) class of t are in bijection with standard Young tableaux (called insertion tableaux) of the same shape as t .

In fact, the insertion tableau of a word $w = x_1 x_2 \dots$ describes the sequence of shapes of the tableaux congruent to the successive words x_1 , $x_1 x_2$, $x_1 x_2 x_3$, It is noted $w@$.

Since the plactic congruences are commutation relations, two congruent words $v \equiv w$ have the same image $\underline{v} = \underline{w}$ in $Z[A]$.

The nilplactic relations being stricter than Coxeter relations (for the simple transpositions), the set of reduced decompositions of any permutation μ is a disjoint union of nilplactic classes [5].

Theorem 1 implies that, given a tableau t of shape I and an integer k there exists one and only one word $u_k t_k$ (resp. $t_k' v_k$) congruent to t such that u_k (resp. v_k) is a column of degree I_k and t_k (resp. t_k') is a tableau of shape $I_1 \dots I_{k-1} I_{k+1} \dots$. Moreover, if $k \geq h$,

then u_k is a subword of u_h and v_k is a subword of v_h . Therefore the word $u_1 u_2 u_3 \dots$ is a key (called left key of t) as well as $v_1 v_2 \dots$ (right key of t).

Ehresmann [6] has associated to each permutation μ and shape I , a key noted $K(\mu, I)$, by taking the sequence of left reordered factors of μ (considered as a word) of successive degrees $I_1, I_2, \dots$. For example, $\mu = 316452$ and $I = 532$ give the key 65431.631.31. The Ehresmann-Bruhat order on the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}(n)$ is defined by: $\mu \leq \zeta$, iff $K(\mu, n \dots 21) \leq K(\zeta, n \dots 21)$ componentwise.

Given a key $K = K(\mu, I)$, let $\mathfrak{U}(K)$ be the sum of tableaux having K as their right (plactic) key. One can check that the tableaux t appearing in $\mathfrak{U}(K)$ are exactly the tableaux of shape I which are "standard with respect to μ " in the terminology of [7]. Therefore, from [7, Theorem 9.6] we conclude that $\mathfrak{U}(K)$ is the class in $\mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$ of the sum of the elements of the "standard basis" of sections of the line bundle associated to I over the Schubert variety of index μ .

Two operators $\bar{\pi}_\mu$ and π_μ on $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ are associated to each permutation [8]. For a simple transposition σ_1 (exchanging a_i and a_{i+1}), $\bar{\pi}_{\sigma_1}$ (abbreviated $\bar{\pi}_1$, and acting on its left) is $f \rightarrow (f\sigma_1 - f)/1 - a_i/a_{i+1}$ and $\pi_1 = \bar{\pi}_1 + \text{identity}$.

One lifts the operator $\bar{\pi}_1$ to an operator θ_1 on $\mathbb{Z}\langle A \rangle$ in the following manner: if w is a word in a_i, a_{i+1} , then $w\theta_1 = \varepsilon \Sigma v$, iff $\bar{w}\pi_1 = \varepsilon \Sigma v$ and $v\theta = w\theta$, putting $\varepsilon = 1$ or -1 whether w has more a_i than a_{i+1} or not [$w\theta_1 = 0$ if $\bar{w} = (a_i a_{i+1})^k$]. This works because words on two letters are determined by their commutative image and their insertion tableau. We make θ_1 act on any word w by applying it in the manner described above, to the subword of w consisting of letters a_i and a_{i+1} and letting invariant the other letters of w .

The operators π_1 and $\bar{\pi}_1$ satisfy Coxeter's relations: this allows one to define π_μ and $\bar{\pi}_\mu$ as products of π_1 or $\bar{\pi}_1$ by taking any reduced decomposition of μ . On the other hand, $\theta_1 \theta_2 \theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \theta_1 \theta_2$ and thus, one cannot define operators θ_μ ; nevertheless, for dominant monomials $a^I = (a_{I_1} \dots a_2 a_1)(a_{I_2} \dots a_2 a_1)(a_{I_3} \dots a_2 a_1) \dots$, where I is a partition (i.e., $I_1 \geq I_2 \geq \dots$), one proves:

Proposition 2. Let $\sigma_1 \sigma_j \dots \sigma_k$ be any reduced decomposition of a permutation μ , and I be a partition. Then $\mathfrak{U}(K(\mu, I)) = a^I \theta_1 \theta_j \dots \theta_k$.

Given a key K , let $\mathfrak{D}(K)$ be the sum $\sum_{H \leq K} \mathfrak{U}(H)$ on all keys H having the same shape as K and which are smaller componentwise, and let $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(K)$ be its commutative image. Using that $\pi_\mu = \sum_{\nu \leq \mu} \bar{\pi}_\nu$, one gets:

COROLLARY 3. Let H and K be two keys of the same shape, $H \leq K$. Then $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(K) - \underline{\mathfrak{D}}(H)$ is a polynomial with positive coefficients.

This corollary is a rewriting, in terms of polynomials instead of modules, of propositions 3 and 4 of [9], which are the strongest version of "Demazure character formula" (for GL_n).

Let n be the cardinal (possibly infinite) of A ; then $\mathbb{Z}[A]$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}[A] \mathfrak{S}(A)$ -module having three natural bases: the monomials a^I , $I \leq n - 1 \dots 10$ (i.e., $I_1 \leq n - 1, \dots, I_{n-1} \leq 1, I_n = 0$), the images of the dominant monomials a^I , $I \leq n - 1 \dots 0$, by the π_μ , $\mu \in \mathfrak{S}(A)$, and the Schubert polynomials X_μ [1]. Accordingly:

$$X_\mu = \sum m((\mu, K)) \underline{\mathfrak{D}}(K) \quad (4)$$

sum on a certain set of keys, with certain multiplicities $m(\mu, K) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now define the noncommutative Schubert polynomials X_μ by replacing in (4) $\underline{\mathfrak{D}}(K)$ by $\mathfrak{D}(K)$. Their main characteristic property is the following theorem, denoting the left nilplactic key of a tableau t by $K(t)$.

THEOREM 5. Let μ be a permutation, $\mathcal{T}(\mu)$ the set of tableaux which are reduced decompositions of μ . Then

$$X_\mu = \sum_{t \in \mathcal{T}(\mu)} \mathfrak{D}(K(t)) \quad (6)$$

The proof is by induction, using the action of the θ_1 on both members of (6). Relation (6) connects our present definition of the X_μ 's with the one proposed in [4].

Example. Let $\mu = 21534$; there are two tableaux in $\mathcal{T}(\mu)$: 314 and 134. Since $314 \approx 341$, the factors extracted are 31 and 3 and thus $K(314) = 313$; 134 is alone in its class and $K(134) = 111$. Thus $X_{21534} = \mathcal{D}(111) + \mathcal{D}(313) = (a_1 a_1 a_1) + (a_2 a_1 a_1 + a_3 a_1 a_1 + a_2 a_1 a_2 + a_2 a_1 a_3 + a_3 a_1 a_3)$, the sets being the sets of all tableaux with right plastic key $\leq a_1 a_1 a_1$ or $a_3 a_1 a_3$ respectively.

LITERATURE CITED

1. A. Lascoux and M. P. Schützenberger, Lect. Notes Math., 996 (1983).
2. I. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, and S. I. Gelfand, Usp. Mat. Nauk, 28, No. 3, 1-26 (1973).
3. W. Kraskiewicz and P. Pragacz, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 304, 209 (1987).
4. A. Lascoux and M. P. Schützenberger, "Fonctorialite," Contemp. Math., 88 (1988).
5. P. Edelman and C. Greene, Adv. Math., 63, 42-99 (1987).
6. C. Ehresmann, Ann. Math., 35, 396-443 (1934).
7. V. Lakshmibai and C. S. Seshadri, J. Algebra, 100, 462-557 (1988).
8. A. Lascoux and M. P. Schützenberger, Funct. Anal., 21, 77 (1987).
9. M. Demazure, Bull. Sci. Math., 98, 163-172 (1974).